Nicholas E. Purpura
1802 Rue De La Port
Wall, New Jersey 07719
732 449 0856

Hon. Judge Leda Dunn Wettre September 27, 2016
Martin Luther King Federal Building & U.S. Courthouse
50 Walnut Street
Newark, NJ 077101

To be made part of the Official Record
RE: *Purpura v. JPMorgan Chase et al.* Civil RICO Action No. 2:16-cv-03765

Dear Judge Wettre:

On June 27, 2016, Plaintiff served this Honorable Court and with proof of service. Summons was issued and entered on June 27th 2016 [Doc. 1].

On July 1, 2016, proof was presented to this Honorable Court that Defendants JPMorgan Chase acknowledged and accepted service on July 1, 2016 [USPS signed receipts].

By law, the Fed. R. Civ. P. (see Rule 12(a)(2)) require in a RICO action, that Defendants answer with Specificity and Particularity (see Rule 8(b), 8(d) intertwined with Rule 9) within 21 + 1 days after receipt of said Motion, or suffer a forfeiture.

On July 19, Defendants' counsel e-mailed Plaintiff requesting a postponement to answer the Complaint until August 23, 2016, Plaintiff rejected their request.

On July 20, 2016, Defendants' counsel submitted a procedurally infirm letter to the Court requesting the Court postpone the deadline until August 23, 2016 [Doc 11]. The FRCP are unambiguous, Defendants were to submit a "Motion for an Enlargement of Time" with an explanation demonstrating excusable neglect, for not complying with the Federal rules. No Motion was submitted nor was any justifiable excuse for neglect set forth by Motion – As learned counsel is aware, according to Rule 6, they forfeited any right to an extension of time.

On July 26, 2016, Plaintiff submitted/filed a Request for Motion of Default Judgment [Doc. 14] received and stamped by the Court on July 22, 2016, at 10:55.

On July 28, 2016, [Doc 15], subsequent to being in default, Defense counsel submitted a second letter, in response to Plaintiff's request to an entry Default. *Void a "Motion for an enlargement of time", that letter failed to set forth any reason for excusable neglect.*

Defendants, at all times, acknowledged by their performance, ratification of all Motions submitted by Plaintiff, including the Motion for Default [Doc 16]. Plaintiff's Motion for Default was curiously denied claiming a "technicality," that it was not accompanied by a proof of effective service, despite Defendants' acknowledged receipt of that Motion.

On July 28, 2016, despite Rule 6's prohibition, Defendants, being in Default, were granted an extension of time to respond until August 23, 2016 [Doc 17] .

On July 29, 2016, Plaintiff re-filed a 2nd Motion for Default. A date was set for September 6, 2016, before the Hon. Judge Michael Vasquez – The Default Judgment, accompanied by a letter "To be made part of the Official record", drew the Court's attention to Rules that had been blatantly violated by seasoned litigators [Doc 18]. Defendants were in default as of **July 22, 2016.**

On August 1, Plaintiff, by Opposition Reply, opposed the grant of an extension of time until August 23, 2016 [Doc 19] based solely upon invalid and procedurally infirm letters to the Court.

On August 17, 2016, based on established law, Plaintiff requested Judicial Intervention [Doc 20] and Motion to Recall & Vacate the invalid and procedurally infirm grant of enlargement of time.

Plaintiff, a Constitutional lecturer, admittedly not an attorney, questions what legal authority exists to justify Defense counsel's submission of any Motion to Dismiss (August 23, 2016 [Doc. 23]) subsequent to being in Default? Defense counsel suddenly attempts to use the FRCP (Rule 12(b)(1) and 12(b)(6)) and fraudulent, perjurious documents against the Petitioner, when all previous filings abandoned the FRCP.

On September 13, 2016, Plaintiff filed a Motion for Summary Judgment [Doc. 31] that was to be heard on October 17, 2016. That Motion was thereafter terminated by letter Order issued on September 21, 2016, [Doc. 32], despite it's being the *only* valid and procedurally *firm* Motion before the Court based upon adherence to the FRCP.

Plaintiff humbly and respectfully asks this Honorable Court for Clarification of why Plaintiff's request for Summary Judgment was deemed premature. He had fully complied with all the rules set forth in the FRCP. Defendants' counsel clearly had not.

Defendants, throughout these proceedings, proceed as if they are exempt from complying with the rules set forth in the FRCP.

Plaintiff believes that, by law, the only valid, legal, and proper pleading to be adjudicated before this Honorable Court is Plaintiff's. Defendants failed to submit a single pleading in compliance with the FRCP. If Defendants, seasoned litigators, believe they're exempt from compliance with the FRCP, let Defendants take that matter up on appeal to the Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit.

Plaintiff thanks this Court in advance for it's clarification.
Respectfully submitted,

Nicholas E. Purpura, Chaplain
cc; Buckley Madole, Evan Maxwell Sampson, Esq.

```
========================================
                WALL
       2510 BELMAR BLVD STE J-4
               BELMAR
                 NJ
             07719-9997
             3306450346
09/27/2016   (800)275-8777    2:28 PM
========================================
========================================
Product              Sale      Final
Description          Qty       Price
----------------------------------------
First-Class            1       $0.89
Mail
Letter
      (Domestic)
      (PHILADELPHIA, PA  19106)
      (Weight:0 Lb 3.00 Oz)
      (Expected Delivery Day)
      (Thursday 09/29/2016)
 Non Mach.             1       $0.21
 Surch.
 Certified             1       $3.30
        (USPS Certified Mail #)
        (70142120000347318126)
 Return                1       $2.70
 Receipt
        (USPS Return Receipt #)
        (9590940303845163864496)
First-Class            1       $0.47
Mail
Letter
      (Domestic)
      (ISELIN, NJ  08830)
      (Weight:0 Lb 0.70 Oz)
      (Expected Delivery Day)
      (Thursday 09/29/2016)
 Certified             1       $3.30
        (USPS Certified Mail #)
        (70142120000347318096)
 Return                1       $2.70
 Receipt
        (USPS Return Receipt #)
        (9590940303845163864502)
----------------------------------------
Total                          $13.57
----------------------------------------
Cash                           $20.00
Change                         ($6.43)

****************************************
BRIGHTEN SOMEONE'S MAILBOX. Greeting
cards available for purchase at select
Post Offices.
****************************************

Text your tracking number to 28777
(2USPS) to get the latest status.
Standard Message and Data rates may
apply. You may also visit USPS.com
USPS Tracking or call 1-800-222-1811.

Order stamps at usps.com/shop or call
1-800-Stamp24. Go to
usps.com/clicknship to print shipping
labels with postage. For other
information call 1-800-ASK-USPS.

****************************************
Get your mail when and where you want
it with a secure Post Office Box. Sign
up for a box online at
usps.com/poboxes.
****************************************
```

U.S. Postal Service™
CERTIFIED MAIL® RECEIPT
Domestic Mail Only

For delivery information, visit our website at www.usps.com®.

ISELIN NJ 08830

OFFICIAL USE

Postage	$ $3.30
Certified Fee	$2.70
Return Receipt Fee (Endorsement Required)	$0.00
Restricted Delivery Fee (Endorsement Required)	$0.00
	$0.00
	$0.47
Total Postage & Fees	$ $6.47

Postmark Here: USPS-WALL BRANCH WALL NJ 07719 0346 08 — 09/27/2016

Sent To: Buckley Madole c/o Ann Simpson
Street & Apt. No., or PO Box No.: 96 Wood Ave So. Suit 803
City, State, ZIP+4: Iselin, NJ 08830

7014 2120 0003 4731 8096

PS Form 3800, July 2014 — See Reverse for Instructions

Proof of Svc
USPS

Mr. Nicholas Purqura
1802 Rue De La Prt
Wall Township, NJ 07719

CERTIFIED MAIL®

7014 2120 0003 4731 8089

X-RAYED

The Hon. Leda D. Wettre, U.S.M.J.
United States District Court
Martin Luther King & Courthouse
50 Walnut Street, Rm 4A
Newark, N.J. 07101

CLERK
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY
RECEIVED

CLERK of USDC (Newark)

0213595 C014

U.S. POSTAGE
PAID
BELMAR, NJ
07719
SEP 27, 16
AMOUNT
$6.68
R2304M115285-08

07102

1000

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE